1	Daniel R. Richardson, Esq., SBN 165601	
2	Richardson Intellectual Property Law, Prof. Corp. 870 Market Street, Suite 615	
3	San Francisco, CA 94102	
4	(415) 291-8900 Fax: (415) 291-8391	
5	email: danr@apatentlawyer.com	
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
7	EXPEREXCHANGE, INC.	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	EXPEREXCHANGE, INC. a California	) Case No.: <b>CV-08-3875 JCS</b>
11	Corporation, DBA: EXPERVISION,	)
12	Plaintiff,	) REQUEST FOR TELEPHONIC
13	VS.	) MEET & CONFER IN DISCOVERY ) DISPUTE
14	DOCULEX, INC., a Florida corporation;	)
15	CARL STRANG, an individual; TERRY MORGAN, an individual; DAVID BAILEY,	)
16	an individual; JIM GREBEY, an individual;	)
17	DAVID GRIFFITH, an individual, Defendants.	)
18		)
19		)
20		_
21	The Court's standing order in discovery disputes requires an in-person 10 business days meet & confer notice. I gave opposing counsel, who is located in Santa Monica, California, an 8	
22		
<ul><li>23</li><li>24</li></ul>	business day notice when he would be in San Francisco, anyway, but he used the 10 day	
25	requirement to avoid the in-person requirement of the court.	
26	In view of the cost of such an in-person meeting, when counsel is located in Santa	
27	Monica, California, which I expect to be unfruitful in any case, I respectfully request the Court to	
28		
	REQUEST FOR TELEPHONIC MEET & CONFER IN DISCOVERY DISPUTE	

## Case 3:08-cv-03875-JCS Document 47 Filed 05/18/09 Page 2 of 2

allow a telephonic appearance to satisfy the in-person requirement for a meet & confer in a discovery dispute. Date: May 18, 2009 Richardson Intellectual Property Law, Prof. Corp. Daniel R. Richardson, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiffs **DENIED** REQUEST GRANTED 

Dated: May 18, 2009



REQUEST FOR TELEPHONIC MEET & CONFER IN DISCOVERY DISPUTE